UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|----------------------|------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/563,681 | 06/21/2006 | Peter Schwind | BPD-103US | 3643 | |
| 31344 RATNERPRES | 7590 05/01/200 STIA | 9 | EXAMINER | | |
| P.O. BOX 1596 | | NGUYEN, BAO THUY L | | | |
| WILMINGTON, DE 19899 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1641 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 05/01/2009 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|----------------|--|
| 10/563,681 | SCHWIND ET AL. | |
| | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |

| | Bao-Thuy L. Nguyen | 1641 | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>06 March 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appel for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | g date of the final rejection | n. | | | | | |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 cension and the corresponding amount of chortened statutory period for reply origithan three months after the mailing dat | 36(a) and the appropriat of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic | e extension fee ate extension fee e action; or (2) as | | | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | | | | | | |
| | out prior to the data of filing a brief | will not be entered be | 001100 | | | | | |
| 3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, leading to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, leading the proposed after the | nsideration and/or search (see NOT | | cause | | | | | |
| (c) ☑ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | | | ne issues for | | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | ected ciaims. | | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | | mnliant Amendment (I | PTOL-324) | | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | inpliant / information (| 102 02 1). | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | owable if submitted in a separate, t | • | - | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: None. Claim(s) objected to: None. Claim(s) rejected: 1-3 and 6-15. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 4,5 and 16-24. | | l be entered and an e | xplanation of | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | ıl and/or appellant fail: | s to provide a | | | | | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attach | ed. | | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu | t does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: | | | | | |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☑ Other: <u>The IDS dated 4/6/09 has not been considered be</u> | | CFR 1.97 and 1.98. | | | | | | |
| | /Bao-Thuy L. Nguyen/ Primary Examiner, Art U April 28, 2009 | nit 1641 | | | | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment to claim 1 raises possible new 112 1st and 2nd issues as well as art issues.